Appendix E Soils Information

								Post
		Preferred				Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
oraa.	7 10. 00	Treatment		oon mapping out	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		rreatment				(%)	Implementation	Meet
								Standards
1.01	123	CT*	Curry I #1	71/85	Low	0	5-7	Yes
1.02	74	CT*	Curry I #1	71/74	Low	0	5-7	Yes
1.03	14	PCT		71/85	Low	0	5-7	Yes
1.04	4	JR		71	Low	0	5-7	Yes
1.05	28	CT*	Curry I #1	71/85	Low	0	5-7	Yes
1.06	15	JR		71/74	Low	0	5-7	Yes
1.07	10	JR		71/85	Low	0	5-7	Yes
3.01	200	CT*	Curry I #2	9/71/74/77/85	Low	0	5-7	Yes
3.02	7	PCT		71/85	Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
3.03	22	JR		71/77/85	Low	0	5-7	Yes
3.04	9	PCT		71/77/85	Low-Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
3.05	7	PCT		71/85	Low-Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
4.01	47	CT*	Curry I #3	9/68/77/85	Low-Moderate	4	9-11	Yes
			Curry I #3 &	8/8X/9/9X/68/71/71C				
4.02	480	CT*	Curry I #4	74/74/77/85	Low-Moderate	4	9-11	Yes
4.03	7	PCT		8X/9/68/71C74	Low			
4.05	3	JR		58	Moderate			
			Curry II #5 &					
4.06	94	CT	Curry II #6	71/77	Low	3-6**	8-13	Yes
4.07	5	JR		68/71C74	Low-Moderate			
5.01	113	PCT		7/8/9/68	Low-Moderate			
5.02	150	IT*	Curry II #19	9/68/71/77	Low-Moderate	5	10-12	Yes
5.03	50	PCT		7/9/68/71	Low-Moderate			

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			6 1 11	J		
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
		Treatment		11 3	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		rreatment				(%)	Implementation	Meet
								Standards
			Curry II #6 &					
5.04	113	CT*	Curry II #15	7/68/71/74C85/77	Low-Moderate	4-6**	9-13	Yes
5.05	11	CT*	Curry II #6	71	Low-Moderate	4-6**	9-13	Yes
5.06	67	PCT		68/71/74C85/77	Low-Moderate			
5.07	102	СТ		68/71/74C85	Low-Moderate	****		
			Curry II #6 &	00/54/54/55				
5.08	141	CT	Curry II #7	68/71/74/77	Low-Moderate	3-6**	8-13	Yes
5.09	206	СТ	Curry II #7	68/71/74C85/77	Low-Moderate	3-5**	8-11	Yes
5.1	116	СТ	Curry I #4	71/74/77	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
5.11	59	СТ	Curry II #7	68/74C85/77	Low-Moderate	3-5**	8-11	Yes
6.01	101	CT*	Curry II #8	3/71C81/74C85	Low-Moderate	3-5**	8-11	Yes
	0.0	ь от		74074/74004/74005				
6.02	63	PCT	0 11 "0	71C74/71C81/74C85		0.44**	2.10	.,
6.03	37	CT*	Curry II #9	3/71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	3-11**	8-18	Yes
6.04	39	PCT	0 11 "10	3/71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate			
6.05	133	CT	Curry II #13	71C74/74C85/77	Low	3	8-10	Yes
6.06	158	CT*	Curry II #13	71C74/74C85/77	Low	3	8-10	Yes
6.07	27	PCT		71C74/74C85	Low			
6.08	11	JR	0 11 "0	71C74	Low	0.44***	2.10	.,
6.09	94	CT*	Curry II #9	71C74/71C81	Low-Moderate	3-11**	8-18	Yes
6.1	108	CT	Curry II #9	3/71C74/71C81	Low-Moderate	3-11**	8-18	Yes
6.11	10	JR	0 11 //0	71C81	Low	0.44**	0.40	V
6.12	7	CT	Curry II #9	74074/74004	Low-Moderate	3-11**	8-18	Yes
6.13	19	CT*	Curry II #12	71C74/71C81	Low-Moderate	14	19-21	No
6.14	43	CT	Curry II #12	71C74/71C81/77	Low-Moderate	14	19-21	No
6.15	11	PCT	0 11 #40	71C74/71C81	Low-Moderate	4.4	40.04	N1.
6.16	48	CT	Curry II #12	71C74/71C81/77	Low-Moderate	14	19-21	No
6.17	148	CT	Curry II #11	71C74/71C81/77	Low-Moderate	4	9-11	Yes
6.18	9	PCT		71C74/71C81/74C85	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
6.19	44	PCT		71C74/74C85/77	Low			

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			Fotential		•	•
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
	60	CT*	C	74 07 4 /77	Law	3-11**	8-18	Standards
6.2 6.21	3	JR	Curry II #10	71C74/77 71C74	Low	3-11	8-18	Yes
6.22	18	CT*	Curp. II #10	71C74/77	Low	3-11**	8-18	Yes
6.23	11	JR	Curry II #10	71C74/77 71C74/77	Low	3-11"	8-18	res
		PCT			Low			
6.24	55		O	71C74	Low	3-11**	0.40	V
6.25	44	CT	Curry II #10	71C74/77	Low		8-18	Yes
6.26	25	PCT	O	71C74/74C85/77	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
6.27	33	CT	Curry II #8	71C81/74C85	Low-Moderate	3-5**	8-12	Yes
6.28	9	JR OT#		71C74	Low	****		
6.29	30	CT*		3/71C74	Low	****		
6.3	34	CT*		71C81	Low-Moderate	****		
6.31	15	JR			Low-Moderate			
7.01	83	CT*	Curry II #14	71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	2-4**	7-11	Yes
7.02	23	CT	Curry II #14	71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	2-4**	7-11	Yes
7.03	65	CT*	Curry II #14	71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	4-6**	9-13	Yes
7.04	28	PCT		3/71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
7.05	37	CT	Curry II #14	3/71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	2-6**	7-13	Yes
7.06	61	CT*	Curry II #14	71C74/74C85	Low-Moderate	2-6**	7-13	Yes
8.01	93	CT	Curry II #16	71/74C85	Low	3-4**	8-11	Yes
8.02	71	CT*	Curry II #16	71/77	Low	3-4**	8-11	Yes
8.03	22	CT	Curry II #16	71C81	Moderate	3	8-10	Yes
8.04	56	PCT		71/77	Low			
8.05	53	CT	Curry II #17	71	Moderate	2-4**	7-11	Yes
8.06	101	CT	Curry II #17	71/71C81/74C85	Low-Moderate	4	9-11	Yes
8.07	124	PCT		71/71C81/74C85	Low-Moderate			
8.08	109	PCT		71/77	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
8.09	9	PCT		71	Moderate	6	11-13	Yes
8.1	5	PCT		71/77	Moderate			
8.11	104	PCT		71/71C81/74C85	Low-Moderate			
8.12	57	PCT		7/74C85/77	Low-Moderate			
9.01	55	IT*	Curry III #18	3/7/71C74/77/7C8	Low	8	13-15	Yes

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			Potential		•	
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
0.00	0.5	IT*	O III. #40	74074/74005/77	Law	0	40.45	Standards
9.02	65	IT*	Curry III #18	71C74/74C85/77	Low	8	13-15	Yes
9.03	71	CT	Curry III #18	3/71C74/74C85/77	Low	8	13-15	Yes
9.04	89	CT*	Curry III #18	1/3/71C74/74C85/77	Low	8	13-15	Yes
9.05	33	CT	Curry III #18	71C74/74C85	Low	8	13-15	Yes
10.01	35	CT*	Curry III #20	3/71C74/74C85/77	Low	9-10**	14-17	Yes
10.02	40	IT*	Curry III #20	74C85	Low	9-10**	14-17	Yes
10.03	58	IT*	Curry III #20	7/74C85/77/8	Low	9-10**	14-17	Yes
10.04	49	IT*	Curry III #20	7/77/7C8/8	Low	9-10**	14-17	Yes
40.05	0.5	174		7/71C74/71C81/74C8		4.4	40	
10.05	95	IT*	Curry III #21	5/8	Low	11	18	Yes
10.06	41	CT*	Curry III #21	71C74/74C85	Low	11	18	Yes
10.07	67	CT*	Curry III #22	71C74/77	Moderate	8	13-15	Yes
10.08	15	PCT		71C74	Low			
10.09	34	PCT		71C74/77	Low			
10.1	5	PCT		71C74	Low			
10.11	3	PCT		71C74	Low			
10.12	7	IT*	Curry III #20	74C85	Low	9-10**	14-17	Yes
10.13	4	СТ		71C74	Low	****		
			Curry III #22 &					
11.01	119	СТ	Curry III #23	3/71C74/77	Low	3-8**	8-15	Yes
11.02	118	CT	Curry III #23	3/71C74/71C81	Low	3	8-10	Yes
11.03	31	JR		3/71C81	Low-Moderate			
11.04	117	CT*	Curry III #23	71C74/71C81/74	Low-Moderate	3	8-10	Yes
11.05	7	JR	_	71C81	Low-Moderate			_
11.06	31	JR		71C81/74	Low-Moderate			
11.07	108	PCT		3/71C74/71C81/7X/8	Low-Moderate			
11.08	61	CT*	Curry III #24	7/71C81/7X/8	Low	24	29-31	No
11.09	74	CT*	Curry III #24	71C81/74/7X/8	Low	24	29-31	No
11.1	134	PCT		71C81/74/7X/8	Low			

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Cl !!!	Ü		
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
		Treatment		34, 34,	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment				(%)	Implementation	Meet
								Standards
11.10a	12	СТ		71C81/74/7X/8	Low	****		
11.11	36	CT*	Curry III #26	7X/8	Low	8	13-15	Yes
11.12	67	CT*	Curry III #26	7/71C81/8	Low	8	13-15	Yes
11.13	11	PCT		3/71C74/71C81	Low-Moderate			
11.14	7	PCT		71C74	Low			
11.15	12	CT*	Curry III #28		Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
12.01	57	CT*	Curry III #27	7X/8X	Low	13	< 20	Yes
12.02	60	CT*	Curry III #27	7/7X/8/8X	Low	13	< 20	Yes
12.03	40	CT*		7/7X/8X	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
13.01	54	CT	Curry IV #13	7/71C81	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
13.02	17	CT	Curry IV #13	7/71C81	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
13.03	49	CT*	Curry IV #13	7/71C81	Low-Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
13.04	19	CT*	Curry IV #13	7/71C81/77	Low	0	5-7	Yes
14.01	214	CT	Curry IV #10	71/77/8/85C87	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
14.02	26	JR		71/71C81/77/8	Low-Moderate			
14.03	145	CT	Curry IV #10	7/71/71C81/77/85C87	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
15.01	24	CT	Curry IV #12	7/71/8	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
15.02	38	CT	Curry IV #12	7/71/8	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
15.03	41	CT	Curry IV #11	71/8	Moderate	< 13	< 20	Yes
16.01	57	JR		8/81C85/85C87	Low-Moderate			
16.02	62	PCT		8/81C85/85C87	Low-Moderate			
16.03	4	PCT		81C85/85C87	Low-Moderate			
16.04	6	JR		81C85/85C87	Low-Moderate			
16.05	1	JR		81C85	Low-Moderate			
16.06	3	JR		8/85C87	Low-Moderate			
16.07	65	JR		68/71/8/81C85/85C87				
16.08	129	JR		81C85/85C87	Low-Moderate			
17.01	85	CT*		41/43C44/71C74	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
17.02	62	PCT		43C44/7/71C74	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			1 Oteritiai		•	Meet
						(%)	Implementation	
17.03	8	IT*	Burnt 1	3/41/43C44	Low-Moderate	5	10-12	Standards Yes
17.03	36	11	Duffit I	3/41/43044	Moderate	8	13-15	Yes
17.04	34	- CT	Burnt 2	43C44/7/71C74	Moderate	3	8-10	Yes
17.05	5 5	JR	DuIIIL ∠	71C74	Low-Moderate	< 10	6-10 < 17	Yes
17.06	8 8	PCT		7/71C74		< 10	< 17 < 17	Yes
17.07	15	IT*		7/71C74 7/71C74	Moderate	< 10	< 17 < 17	Yes
17.08	16	PCT		7/71C74 71C74	Low Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17 < 17	Yes
17.09	10	IT		43C44/71C74		< 1U ****	< 17	res
17.11	32	CT	Burnt 1	3/41/81C85	Low Low-Moderate	5	10-12	Yes
18.01	38	CT*	Burnt 3	3/81C85	Moderate	19	24-26	No Yes
18.02	10	PCT	Duilit 3	65/81C85		_		Yes
	96	IT*		65/71/81C85	Moderate	< 11	< 18	
18.03	35	IT*		65/71/81C85/9	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
18.04		CT		71	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
18.05	60 33	CT		71/9	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes Yes
18.07	33	PCT		7/71/81C85/9	Low	9	14-16	res
18.08			Dismot 0		Low-Moderate	0	40.45	V
19.01	30 54	CT	Burnt 8	65/71 65/71	Moderate	8	13-15	Yes
19.02	46	PCT CT*		71	Moderate	9 <12	14-16	Yes Yes
19.03		CT*	Burnt 8	65/71/74	Moderate		< 19	
19.04	82	CT*		71/74C77	Moderate	8 <12**	13-15	Yes
19.05	15 56	IT*	Burnt 9 Burnt 6	3/65/71/73	Low	3	< 19	Yes Yes
19.06	81	CT*	Duffit 6	71/73/74C77	Low-Moderate		8-10	
19.07 19.08	37	PCT		71/73/74C77 71/74C77	Low-Moderate	< 12 < 13	< 19 < 20	Yes Yes
19.08	48	CT*		65/71/74	Low-Moderate	< 13 ****	< 20	res
20.01	52	CT*		65/71/74	Low-Moderate Low-Moderate	****		
20.01	131	CT*	Burnt 5	3/71/74	Moderate		15-17	Yes
20.02		CT*				10 2-10**	7-17	Yes
	39 47	PCT	Burnt 5	3/71/74C77	Low			Yes Yes
20.04	52	CT*	Durat 1	71/74/74C77	Moderate	< 12 < 12**	< 19	
20.05		CT*	Burnt 4	71/74C77/9	Low	< 12"" ****	< 19	Yes
20.06	14	LI"		71/9	Low			

					Ι			Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			Fotential		•	
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
20.07	40	DOT		74/0	1	. 10	. 17	Standards
20.07	19	PCT		71/9	Low	< 10 ****	< 17	Yes
20.08	41	CT	D	71/74	Low-Moderate		10	V
21.01	35	CT CT*	Burnt 13	71/74	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
21.02	28	-	Burnt 13	68/71/74	Low-Moderate	< 11 ****	< 18	Yes
21.03	13	CT*		68/71/74/74C77	Low-Moderate		40	
21.04	31	PCT	D 40	68/71/74	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
21.05	57	CT*	Burnt 12	71/74	Moderate	4	9-11	Yes
21.06	86	CT*	Burnt 12	68/71	Moderate	4	9-11	Yes
21.07	27	CT*	Burnt 10	71/74C77	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
21.08	55	CT*	Burnt 11	68/71	Low	4-8**	9-15	Yes
21.09	32	CT*	Burnt 11	71/74	Low	8	13-15	Yes
21.1	49	CT*		68/7/71/73/74	Low	****		
21.11	31	CT*		68/71/74C77	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
21.12	25	JR		71/74C77	Low			
22.01	100	IT*		65/71/73/9/9X	Low-Moderate	****		
22.02	103	IT*	Burnt 7	65/71/73/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
22.03	11	PCT		65/81C85	Low-Moderate			
22.04	30	IT*	Mud #25	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
22.05	35	IT*	Mud #25	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
22.06	69	PCT		65/73/81C85	Low-Moderate			
				43C44/46C47/65/81C				
23.01	128	СТ	Mud #22	85	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
23.02	17	IT*	Mud #21	65	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
23.03	57	IT*	Mud #20	65/81C85	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
23.04	26	IT*	Mud #20	81C85/85C87	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
23.05	6	JR		81C85	Low-Moderate			
23.06	33	IT*	Mud #21	65/81C85/85C87	Low-Moderate	6	11-13	Yes
23.07	95	IT*	Mud #21	43C44/65/81C85	Low	8	13-15	Yes
24.01	45	IT*		65/71/81C85	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.02	39	PCT		65/81C85	Moderate			
24.03	23	IT*	Mud #25	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			Fotential		•	· ·
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
24.04	117	IT*		81C85	Lavy Madarata	. 10	. 10	Standards
24.04	28	IT*	Mud #24	81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12 2	< 19 7-9	Yes Yes
24.05	33	IT*	Mud #24	3/81C85	Low Low-Moderate	8	13-15	Yes
24.06	<u>33</u> 14	IT*	Mud #24	3/81C85	Low-Moderate	o < 12	< 19	Yes
24.07	16	IT*	Mud #24	3/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.08	46	IT*	Burnt 3	3/81C85	Moderate	19	24-26	No
24.09	40	11	Duille 3	3/41/43C44/46C47/65		19	24-20	INO
04.44	405	IT*		/81C85		. 10	. 10	Vaa
24.11	125				Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.12	57	PCT	NA 1 1/00	65/81C85		40	40	
24.13	7	IT*	Mud #23	81C85	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.14	20	PCT		65/81C85	Low-Moderate			
04.45	10	ID		43C44/46C47/81C85	Moderate	. 44	. 10	Vaa
24.15	16	JR JR		46C47/81C85	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.16	2		Durnt 1		Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.17	6	PCT	Burnt 1	46C47/81C85	Low-Moderate	5	10-12	Yes
24.18	19	CT	Burnt 1	41/46C47/81C85	Low-Moderate	5	10-12	Yes
24.19	6	JR		46C47	Moderate	< 10 ****	< 17	Yes
24.2	1	IT*		41/43C44/46C47	Low			
24.21	40	PCT		43C44/46C47/65	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.22	4	JR		43C47	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.23	11	JR		43C44/46C47	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.24	10	PCT		43C44/46C47/65	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.25	73	IT*	Mud #17	65/81C85	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.26	287	IT*		65/71/81C85	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.27	50	IT*	Mud #18	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	9	14-16	Yes
24.28	34	PCT		65	Moderate			
24.29	87	CT*	Mud #19	65/81C85	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
24.3	38	PCT		81C85	Moderate			
24.31	3	PCT	Mud #19	81C85	Low-Moderate			
24.32	52	IT*	Mud #19	81C85	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.33	13	IT*	Mud #24	81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			Potentiai		•	·
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
04.04	10	DOT		0.5			0.40	Standards
24.34	10	PCT		65	Moderate	3	8-10	Yes
24.35	24	PCT		65	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.36	39	PCT		65/81C85	Moderate			.,
24.37	15	IT*	Mud #19	65/81C85	Moderate	< 13	< 20	Yes
24.38	27	PCT		65	Moderate	5	10-12	Yes
24.39	25	IT*		65/81C85	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.4	9	JR		65/81C85	Low-Moderate			
24.41	25	IT*	Mud #18	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.42	28	IT*		65/81C85	Low-Moderate	3	8-10	Yes
24.43	44	IT*	Mud #17	65/71	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.44	60	IT*	Mud #17	65/71/74	Low-Moderate	3	8-10	Yes
24.45	33	IT*		81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.46	21	IT*		81C85	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.47	51	IT*	Mud #16	81C85	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.48	10	JR		81C85	Low-Moderate			
24.49	19	CT	Mud #16	81C85	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.51	23	CT	Mud #16	81C85	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.52	15	IT*		46C81/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.53	42	IT*	Mud #15	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.54	8	IT*	Mud #15	65/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
24.55	20	IT*	Mud #15	48/65/81C85	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
24.56	52	IT*	Mud #15	46C81/48/81C85	Low	****		
24.57	68	IT*	Mud #15	42/46C81/48/65	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
25.01	22	IT*		68/71/8/9	Low-Moderate	****		
26.01	58	PCT		71C74/71C75	Low	5	10-12	Yes
26.02	97	IT*	Dry #12	71C74/71C75	Low	10	< 17	Yes
26.03	35	PCT	-	71C74/71C75	Low			
26.04	67	IT*	Dry #11	71C74/71C75	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
26.05	41	PCT	•	71C74/71C75	Low-Moderate	8	13-15	Yes
26.06	62	IT*	Dry #11	71C74/71C75	Low	3-19**	8-26	No
26.07	3	-	Dry #11		Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes

		Ι						Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment			Potentiai		•	•
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
00.00	40	DOT		74074/74075		40**	22	Standards
26.08	10	PCT	5 "44	71C74/71C75	Low	< 13**	< 20	Yes
26.09	94	IT*	Dry #11	71C74/71C75	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
27.01	76	IT*	Dry #10	71C75	Low-Moderate	10	15-17	Yes
27.02	131	IT*	Dry #13	71C75	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
27.03	99	PCT		71C74/71C75	Low-Moderate	8	13-15	Yes
27.04	92	IT*	Dry #13	71C75/9	Low	2	7-9	Yes
27.05	37	IT*	Dry #13	71C75/74C77	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
27.06	44	IT*		71C74/71C75	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
					_			
27.08	112	IT*	Dry #13	71C74/71C75/74C77	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
27.09	19	JR		71C75/74C77	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
27.1	101	CT*	Dry #14	71C75/74C77	Low-Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
27.11	45	IT*	Dry #13	71C75	Low-Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
27.12	108	IT*	Dry #10	71C75	Low-Moderate	10	15-17	Yes
27.13	12	PCT		71C75	Low-Moderate	9	14-16	Yes
27.14	4	PCT		71C75	Low-Moderate	13***	18-20	Yes
27.15	5	PCT		71C75	Low-Moderate	13***	18-20	Yes
27.16	9	JR		71C75	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
28.01	12	PCT		71C75	Low-Moderate	< 13	< 20	Yes
28.02	64	IT*	Dry #9	71C75/74	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
28.03	13	PCT		71C75	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
28.04	228	IT*	Dry #8	71C75/74	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
28.05	54	IT*	Dry #9	71C75/74	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
29.01	126	IT*	Dry #7	42C48/71C75/9	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes
29.02	42	IT		42C48/58/9	Low-Moderate	11	16-18	Yes
29.03	44	IT*	Dry #7	42C48/58/9	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
				3/41C42/41C68/65C8				
30.01	334	IT*	Dry #1	2/68C83	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
30.02	307	IT*	Dry #2	3/42/65C82/68C83	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
30.03	253	IT*	Dry #3	3/41/42/42C58/68C83	Low-Moderate	13-19**	18-26	No

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	
		Treatment			Potentiai		•	Expected to
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
00.05	405	D0D*		0/40/05000/00000		4.0	47	Standards
30.05	185	P&P*		3/42/65C82/68C83	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
00.00	400	D0D*		41/41C42/42C48/42C	NA - la (-	40	47	V.
30.06	120	P&P*	D . #4	58/43/68C83	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
31.01	172	IT*	Dry #4	41/42C58	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
31.02	49	IT*	Dry #5	41/42	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
31.03	82	IT*	Dry #6	42/42C58	Low-Moderate	10-13**	15-20	Yes
04.04	400	 	Dry #6 &	41C42/42/42C48/42C		00**	05.07	NI.
31.04	183	IT*	Mud #7	58/71C75	Low	30**	35-37	No
31.05	44	P&P*	B.A. 1.11.4	41C42/42	Low-Moderate		40	.,
31.06	85	IT*	Mud #1	41/41C42/42	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
31.07	102	P&P*		41/41C42/42/42C48	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
24.00	40	IT*	M d #40	44040/40040/74075	Madausta	44	40	V
31.08	49	IT*	Mud #12	41C42/42C48/71C75	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
31.09	80	IT*	Mud #7	41C42/42C4842C58	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
04.4	404	 	Dry #6 &	41/42/42C48/42C58/7	NA - la (-	4.4	40	V.
31.1	164	IT*	Mud #11	14040/40	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
31.11	12	PCT	M1 //O	41C42/42	NA - La (-	0	F 7	
32.01	92	CT*	Mud #3	3/41/42	Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
00.00	405	 	Mud #3 &	0/44/40/47005		00	07	NI.
32.02	125	IT*	Mud #4	3/41/42/47C85	Low	> 30	> 37	No
32.03	2	IT OT*	NA1 115	41	Low		0.44	
32.04	52	CT*	Mud #5	41	Low	3-4**	8-11	Yes
32.05	71	IT*	Mud #5	41	Low	3	8-10	Yes
32.06	17	IT*	Mud #3	41/42	Low-Moderate	2	7-9	Yes
32.07	11	IT*	Mud #2	42	Moderate	0	5-7	Yes
32.08	87	IT*	Mud #9	3/41/41C42/48	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
	0.47		NA 1 1/4 C	3/41C42/42C48/47C8		4.0	22	.,
32.09	217	IT*	Mud #10	5/48/65/71	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
32.1	59	-	NA 1 "10	10010/=10==				.,
33.01	49	IT*	Mud #12	42C48/71C75	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	3		` ,	
		Treatment			Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
								Standards
33.02	17	IT*	Mud #12	41C42/42C48/71C75	Moderate	< 16	< 23	No
33.03	59	IT*	Mud #12	3/41C42/42C48	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
33.04	67	PCT		3/41C42	Moderate	5**	10-12	Yes
33.05	40	PCT		42C48	Low-Moderate	3-9**	8-16	Yes
33.06	47	IT*	Mud #12	41C42/42C48	Low	4	9-11	Yes
33.07	44	PCT		41C42/42C48	Moderate	5**	10-12	Yes
33.08	53	IT*	Mud #11	41C42/42C48	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
33.09	47	PCT		41C42/42C48	Moderate	6	11-13	Yes
33.1	74	IT*	Mud #13	3/41C42/42C48	Moderate	14	19-21	No
33.11	54	PCT		42C48	Moderate	6	11-13	Yes
33.12	34	IT*	Mud #14	42C48/75	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
33.13	117	IT*	Mud #14	42C48/65/75	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
33.14	60	IT*	Mud #14	65	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
33.15	54	IT*	Mud #14	65/75	Low	11	16-18	Yes
33.16	61	IT*	Mud #14	3/65/75/9	Low	< 20	< 27	No
			Mud #6 &					
33.18	146	IT*	Mud #8	41/41C42/42C48	Moderate	< 13	< 20	Yes
33.19	61	IT*	Mud #12	41C42/42C48	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
33.2	80	IT*	Mud #12	41C42/42C48	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
34.01	166	PCT		71/75	Low-Moderate			
				3/44/44C85/48C82/71				
35.01	593	PCT		/81C82	Low-Moderate			
35.02	5	JR		44/81C82	Low-Moderate			
35.03	7	JR		44C85/81C82	Low-Moderate			
35.04	7	JR		44/81C82	Low-Moderate			
35.05	3	JR		81C82	Low-Moderate		·	
36.01	119	IT*	Curry IV #5	41C44/42/46C81/71	Low-Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
36.02	68	IT*	Curry IV #6	46C81/48/81C85	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
				41C81/46C47/46C81/				
36.03	63	IT*	Curry IV #6	48	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes

		I						Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
		Preferred			Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	
		Treatment			Potentiai		•	Expected to
						(%)	Implementation	Meet
								Standards
36.04	25	JR		41C81/46C47/46C81	Low-Moderate			
36.05	36	IT*	Curry IV #5	46C47/46C81	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
00.00			Guily IV #6	41C44/41C81/46C47/	2011		110	100
36.06	62	IT*	Curry IV #5	46C81/71	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.07	46	IT*	Curry IV #5	41C81/46C47/71	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
			,					
36.08	23	IT*	Curry IV #2	41C46/41C81/46C47	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
			-	41C46/41C81/46/46C				
36.09	24	PCT		47	Low-Moderate			
36.1	35	IT*	Curry IV #5	41C81/46C47/71	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.11	16	IT*	Curry IV #5	41C81/46C47	Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.12	25	JR		41C81/46C47	Low			
				41C46/41C81/46/46C				
36.13	41	IT*	Curry IV #3	47	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.14	26	IT*	Curry IV #3	1/41C46/46	Low	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.15	51	IT*	Curry IV #3	1/41/41C46/46C47	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.16	15	IT*	Curry IV #4	1/41C42	Moderate	< 11	< 18	Yes
36.17	28	IT*	Curry IV #7	41C81/46/81C85	Low	3	8-10	Yes
			Curry IV #8 &					
36.18	95	IT*	Curry IV #9	68/81C85	Low	3-6**	8-13	Yes
36.19	87	IT*	Curry IV #6	46C81/81C85	Low-Moderate	< 12	< 19	Yes
36.2	70	IT*	Curry IV #6	81C85	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
36.21	22	IT*	Curry IV #6	81C85	Moderate	< 10	< 17	Yes
37.01	36	IT*	Mud #15	42/65	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
37.02	82	IT*	Mud #15	65/71/74	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes
00.04	- 4	174		41C46/46C47/48C82/		_	40.44	
38.01	51	IT*	Curry IV #1	81C82	Low	7	12-14	Yes
38.01a	15	JR	0 11/1/4	40047/40000	Low	40	22	V
38.02	19	IT*	Curry IV #1	46C47/48C82	Low	< 13	< 20	Yes
38.03	6	IT*	Curry IV #1	46C47/48C82	Low	< 10	< 17	Yes

								Post
						Existing	Detrimental	Treatment
C+ //	Λ	Preferred		Call Managina at the la	Subsoiling	Detrimental	Conditions (%)	Conditions
Stand #	Acres	Alternative	Harvest Unit	Soil Mapping Unit	Potential	Conditions	Expected After	Expected to
		Treatment		(%)	Implementation	Meet		
								Standards
38.04	9	JR		46C47	Low			
38.05	2	JR		41C46/46C47	Low			
39.01	198	PCT		68/71/73/74/8	Low-Moderate			
40.01	468	PCT		7/71/74/87/9/9X	Low			
41.01	258	PCT		7/71/73/74/8/87/9/9X	Low	< 11	< 18	Yes

^{*} Following the primary treatment, the unit (or part of the unit) would be precommercial thinned. If a primary treatment is not economically viable than the unit would be precommercial thinned.

^{**} Two or more separate estimates were made on this stand.

^{***} Data does not account for % of unit in roads and landings. Assume at least an additional 2% in roads and landings.

^{****} No data for these stands.

Soils

The Soil Resource Inventory for the Malheur National Forest was consulted to determine what soils may be encountered within the project area. Soils and parameters of concerns are listed on the following table.

Soil Unit	Erosion	Cut slope	Fill slope	Road	Compaction	Mixing and
	Potential	Erosion	Erosion	Surface	Hazard	Displacement
		Potential	Potential	Erosion		-
				Potential		
1	L	L	L-M	L	Н	L
3	L	L	L-M	L	Н	L
5	L	M	M	M-H	L	Н
7	Н	N/R	L	M	L	M
8	Н	M	M	M	L-M	M
9	VH	Н	Н	M	L	M
41	L-M	L	M	M	M-H	L-M
42	L-M	L	M	M	L-M	M
43	Н	M	M	M	M-H	L-M
44	Н	L	L	L	L-M	L-M
46	M	L	L	L	L-M	L-M
47	M	N/R	L	L	L	L-M
48	H-VH	M	M	М-Н	L-M	M
58	M	M	Н	Н	L	Н
65	H-VH	M	M	Н	L	Н
68	M-H	M	M	M-H	L	M-H
71	L	L	M	M-H	L	M
73	Н	L	L	L	L	M
74	M	L	L	L	L	M
75	M	M	M	М-Н	L	M
77	L	N/R	L	L	L	L
81	L-M	M	M	M	Н	L
82	L-M	M	M	M	Sur –L	Sur – M
					Sub - H	Sub - L
83	M	M	Н	М-Н	Sur – L	Sur – H
					Sub - H	Sub - L
85	M	L	L	L-M	Н	L
87	Н	L	L	L-M	Н	L

L= Low, M = Moderate, H = High. VH = Very High, N/R = No Rating

Soils Constraints

Loamy and Clayey soils - any ground disturbance that removes the groundcover can cause unacceptable accelerated erosion.

Loamy soils - Minimize amount of disturbed area; maintain revegetation/erosion control measures current with operations. Soils in this group generally have southerly aspects, which can create excessively high surface soil temperatures if excessive amounts of vegetation and litter are removed. This can create regeneration and revegetation problems.

Clay soils - Avoid when wet, keep erosion control measures current.

Ash Soils - If the vegetative cover and litter are removed or broken up; and if water is then allowed to concentrate, excessive erosion can occur. Avoid when wet, keep erosion control measures current.

Soils Definitions

Surface Soil Erosion Potential. This rating is based on expected losses of surface soil when all vegetative cover, including litter, is removed.

Low - Little or no loss of soil materials is expected. Some minor sheet and rill erosion may occur.

Moderate - Some loss of surface soil materials can be expected. Rill erosion and some small gullies or sheet erosion may occur. Sheet erosion is indicated by some soil pedestals and observable accumulation of soil materials along the upslope edge of rocks and debris. This is accompanied by a probable fertility loss.

High - Considerable loss of surface soil materials can be expected. Rill erosion, numerous small gullies or evidence that considerable loss from sheet erosion may occur. Sheet erosion is indicated by frequent occurrence of soil pedestals and considerable accumulation of soil materials along the upslope edge of rocks and debris. This is accompanied by a fertility loss.

Very High - Large loss of surface soil material can be expected in the form of large losses from sheet erosion, numerous small gullies and rills or large gullies. Sheet erosion loss is exhibited by numerous examples of soil pedestals and extensive accumulation of soil materials along the upslope edge of rocks and debris. This is accompanied by a fertility loss.

Cut Slope Erosion Potential. This rating predicts the potential of soils exposed in a road cut to erode without erosion control measures.

Low – Factors indicate little erosion is likely to occur.

Moderate – Factors indicate that a moderate amount of erosion can be expected.

High – Factors indicate that a large amount of erosion can be expected.

Fill Slope Erosion Potential. This rating predicts the potential of soil material on a fill slope to erode without erosion control measures.

Low – Factors indicate little erosion is likely to occur.

Moderate – Factors indicate that a moderate amount of erosion can be expected.

High – Factors indicate that a large amount of erosion can be expected.

Road Surface Erosion Potential. This rating predicts the erosion potential on unsurfaced roads with grades less than 10 percent.

Low – Factors indicate little erosion is likely to occur. Much of the road running surface is coarse rock fragments.

Moderate – Factors indicate that a moderate amount of erosion can be expected.

High – Factors indicate that a large amount of erosion can be expected. Most of the running surface is highly erodible soil material.

Compaction Hazard. This is a relative prediction of soil behavior under the physical influences of foot, hoof, vehicular, or log traffic. It is a combined rating of the length of time that a soil is at optimum moisture for compaction and the comparative force necessary to get compaction.

Low – Factors indicate that detrimental compaction will be a minor problem.

Moderate – Factors indicate that compaction will be a problem in the spring and early summer.

High – Factors indicate that compaction will be a problem for a large part of the spring and summer.

Mixing and Displacement. This interpretation rates the land type as to the ease with which its soil material can be loosened and moved after its vegetation and litter are removed. Mixing and displacement can be done by hoof, foot, vehicular, or log traffic.

Low – Factors indicate that these soils are not easy to loosen and/or dissipate.

Moderate – Factors indicate that these soils are moderately easy to loosen and dissipate.

High – Factors indicate that these soils are easy to loosen and/or dissipate.

General Land type Description of Each Soil Unit.

1 Typically occurs along stream bottoms and large springy areas. These areas remain wet throughout the year. These soils may have a surface peat layer or are high in organic matter and are generally more

- than 36 inches deep. Soil textures include silt loams to clay loams, silty clay loams and some clays. Nebraska sedge, Ovalhead sedge and bentgrass are the dominant grass and sedges on this mapping unit.
- 3 Typically occurs along stream bottoms and other areas that are wet for a portion of the summer. These areas may or may not be sub-irrigated during the growing season. The surface soils are generally high in organic matter. Soil texture ranges from silt loams to loams to clay loams and some clays. Soil depth is greater than 24 inches. Dominant vegetation is Kentucky bluegrass and tufted hairgrass.
- 5 Typically occurs in areas that have am accumulation of recent volcanic ash in cold air settlement areas. These areas occur around meadow areas, in depression or basin-like areas, and along stream bottoms. Dominant vegetative types are lodgepole pine and grouse huckleberry, which are cold soil indicator species.
- 7 Generally occurs on slopes with a south aspect. Soil texture varies from loam to clay. Dominant vegetation consists of juniper, scattered ponderosa pine, big sagebrush, low sagebrush, mahogany, fescue, wheatgrass, and sandberg bluegrass.
- 8 Generally occurs on slopes with a south aspect. Bedrock is generally highly stratified and variable. Soil texture varies from loam to clay. Dominant vegetation consists of ponderosa pine, fescue, elk sedge, wheatgrass and sandberg bluegrass.
- 9 Occurs on slopes with a variable aspect. The soils generally have an 8 to 12 inch recent volcanic ash surface layer over a variety subsurface material. Bedrock is generally highly stratified and variable. Dominant vegetation is ponderosa pine, white fir, douglas fir, pinegrass and elk sedge.
- 41 Typically occurs on upland flats and side slopes with a southerly aspect. It supports ponderosa pine with a groundcover of elk grass, wheatgrass, fescue, and sandberg bluegrass.
- 42 Occurs on upland flats and side slopes. It supports ponderosa pine, douglas fir, and white fir with a groundcover of elk sedge and pinegrass.
- 43 Occurs on steep southerly facing side slopes. It supports ponderosa pine with a groundcover of elk sedge, wheatgrass, fescue, and sandberg bluegrass.
- 44 Occurs on steep side slopes. It supports juniper, mahogany and big sagebrush, with a groundcover of wheatgrass, fescue, and sandberg bluegrass.
- 46 Occurs on upland flats and side slopes. It supports juniper, mahogany, few ponderosa pine and big sagebrush with a groundcover of sandberg bluegrass and wheatgrass.
- 47 Occurs on upland flats and side slopes. It supports stiff and low sagebrush with a groundcover of wheatgrass and sandberg bluegrass.
- 48 Typically occurs on steep side slopes with variable aspect. It supports ponderosa pine, douglas fir and white fir with a groundcover of elk sedge and pinegrass.
- 58 Typically occurs on upland flats and side slopes with gradients less than 30 percent. It supports white fir, douglas fir, larch, and lodgepole pine with a groundcover of huckleberry, pinegrass, and Columbia brome.

- 65 Occurs on upland flats and side slopes. It supports ponderosa pine, douglas fir, and white fir with a groundcover of pinegrass and elk sedge.
- 68 Occurs on southerly facing upland flats and side slopes. It supports ponderosa pine with a groundcover of fescue, elk sedge, wheatgrass, and sandberg bluegrass.
- 71 Occurs on upland flats. It supports ponderosa pine and bitterbrush with a groundcover of ross sedge, elk sedge, fescue, and wheatgrass.
- 73 Occurs on steep exposed side slopes with a variable aspect. It supports juniper, mahogany, big sagebrush, scattered ponderosa pine and a of wheatgrass, fescue and sandberg bluegrass.
- 74 Occurs on upland flats. It supports juniper, mahogany, big sagebrush, scattered ponderosa pine and a groundcover of wheatgrass, fescue and sandberg bluegrass.
- 75 Occurs on upland flats. It supports ponderosa pine, douglas fir, white fir with a groundcover of elk sedge and pinegrass.
- 77 Occurs on upland flats. It supports low and stiff sagebrush and sandberg bluegrass.
- 81 Typically occurs on upland flats, side slopes, and toe slopes with slope gradients les than 30 percent. It supports ponderosa pine with a groundcover of fescue, elk sedge, and pinegrass.
- 82 Typically occurs on upland flats, side slopes, and toe slopes with gradients less than 30 percent. It supports ponderosa pine, douglas fir, and white fir with a groundcover of pinegrass and elk sedge.
- 83 Typically occurs on upland flats and northerly-facing side slopes with gradients less than 40 percent. It supports white fir, douglas fir, larch, and lodgepole pine with a groundcover of huckleberry, pinegrass, and Columbia brome.
- 85 Occurs on upland flats and side slopes. It supports juniper, scattered ponderosa pine, low sagebrush, fescue, wheatgrass, and sandberg bluegrass.
- 87 Typically occurs on steep side slopes with gradients of 30 to 70 percent. It supports juniper, scattered ponderosa pine, low sagebrush, fescue, wheatgrass, and Sandberg bluegrass.

SOIL DISTURBANCE ASSESSMENT Malheur National Forest

Draft September 19, 2002

The objectives of this paper are: 1) Establish consistency in soil assessment methods on the Malheur National Forest and across the Blue Mountains (Wallowa-Whitman and Umatilla National Forests) and 2) Ensure compliance with the Forest's Land and Resource Management Plan and FSM (Forest Service Manual) 2520.3. Testing of this interim assessment protocol will occur during the next several months, with revisions made if necessary.

This protocol describes how to assess existing condition of soils in areas where proposed or current management activities have the potential to affect the soil resource, with emphasis on those areas receiving mechanical treatments. Summaries and interpretations of soil management direction in the Forest Land and Resource Management Plan and FSM 2500.98-1 are also given.

Background and Direction

The Malheur and Ochoco National Forests Land and Resource Management Plans and FSM 2520.3 provide direction for the management of soils within activity areas. This direction is summarized below, with interpretations and recommendations.

<u>FSM 2520.3-1:</u> Design new activities that do not exceed detrimental soil conditions on more than 20 percent of an activity area. (This includes the permanent transportation system.)

Interpretation: Refers to proposed activities in areas that have not been managed or disturbed. New management activities cannot exceed detrimental soil conditions on more than 20 percent of an activity area.

Recommendation: Design activities that result in less than 20 percent detrimental soil disturbance.

<u>FSM 2520.3-2:</u> In areas where less than 20 percent detrimental soil conditions exist from prior activities, the cumulative detrimental effect of the current activity following project implementation and restoration must not exceed 20 percent.

Interpretation: Refers to areas that have been managed in the past and show obvious signs of detrimental soil conditions. Activities that temporarily result in more than 20 percent detrimental soil conditions are allowed if restoration activities result in a net reduction in detrimental soil conditions back below 20 percent.

Recommendation: If restoration activities are required to meet soil quality standards, then site-specific prescriptions must be written by a qualified soil scientist (or other resource professional), based on evaluation of on-site soil conditions. Restoration prescriptions should be considered as part of an overall management strategy for the activity area(s). Emphasize long-term maintenance or improvement of soil quality in light of the resource needs and management objectives of the site.

<u>FSM 2520.3-3:</u> In areas where more than 20 percent detrimental soil conditions exist from prior activities, the cumulative detrimental effects of project implementation, and restoration must, at a minimum, not exceed the conditions prior to the planned activity and should move toward a net improvement in soil quality.

Interpretation: Refers to areas that have been impacted in the past and show obvious signs of detrimental soil conditions on more than 20 percent of the activity area. Activities that result in additional soil disturbance is allowed if restoration activities result in a net reduction in detrimental soil conditions, or at a minimum do not exceed the conditions prior to the activity. Management activities should not be precluded in activity areas that exceed the 20 percent standard.

Recommendation: If restoration activities are required to meet soil quality standards, then site specific prescriptions must be written by a qualified soil scientist (or other resource professional), based on evaluation of on-site soil conditions. Restoration prescriptions should be considered as part of an overall management strategy for the activity area. Emphasize long-term maintenance or improvement of soil quality in light of the resource needs and management objectives of the site. Restoration does not necessarily have to achieve a goal of 20% or less detrimental soil conditions.

<u>Forest Plan Standard:</u> The total acreage of all detrimental soil conditions shall not exceed 20% of the total acreage within any activity area, including landings and system roads. Consider restoration treatments if detrimental conditions are present on 20% or more of the activity area. Detrimental soil conditions include compaction, puddling, displacement, and severely burned soil, and surface erosion.

Interpretation: It is permissible to enter areas where detrimental soil conditions exceed 20 percent of the total acreage within the activity area. However, several stipulations must be met. First, an acceptable analysis of existing soil conditions needs to be made. This not only includes an assessment of soil disturbance, but also a determination of what kinds of soils exist within the activity area. Based on soil capability, site-specific restoration prescriptions can be developed. These should include an estimated timeline for achieving restoration objectives. The forest plan standard lacks specificity in terms of existing and proposed conditions, and is more general than the FSM direction.

Recommendation: Rely on the FSM for more specific direction.

The following is a short step-by-step summary of the current Soil Disturbance Assessment used by the Malheur National Forest.

STEP	DESCRIPTION
1	Obtain timber sale area maps or ortho/aerial photographs of the project area/watershed.
2	On the map or orthophoto's, stratify the planning area or watershed into areas having the highest probability of soil impacts exceeding 20% (high level of concern related to existing conditions) and areas thought to have impacts less than 20% (low level of concern related to existing conditions). • Use the District's activity (harvest and thinning) and/or Silvicultural Activity Tracking (SAT) GIS layers to aid in mapping "high level of concern" areas. • "High level of concern" areas can also be mapped by means of photo interpretation – look for changes in canopy density as well as on-the-ground impacts such as skid trail, landings,
	etc.Digitize polygons to create a GIS layer.
3	Assess the potential for soil impacts based on proposed management activities and soil type (see Soil Resource Inventory). For instance, categorize potential impacts into low (e.g. helicopter or skyline), moderate (e.g. cut-to-length forwarding system), and high (e.g. skidding or machine piling).
4	Prioritize units using the conceptual model shown in <u>Attachment 2</u> . If time is available, sample all "high priority" areas and at least 15% of the "low and medium priority" areas (a minimum of 5 units sampled). If time is limited, randomly select 50% of the "high priority" units in each soil group with a minimum of 10 units being sampled.
5	Sample the appropriate units and categorize the soil conditions using the <i>Soil Class Disturbance Definitions</i> (Attachment 3) and the <i>Soil Survey Data Forms</i> (Attachments 4). When calculating the percentage of an activity area that contains detrimental soil conditions, use the percentage of points designated as Class 2 and Class 3. A soil scientist or other properly trained individual can complete the soil disturbance survey, however, a soil scientist will determined the sampling method. Do not sample non-forest inclusions. The following methods should be considered based on the quantity and quality of data desired. • Statistical Point Sampling Method: See Howes, S., Hazard, J., and Geist, J. 1983. Guidelines for Sampling Some Physical Conditions of Surface Soils. R6-RWM-146-1983, p. 5-6. Sampling intensity should be 5 20-point transects per 10 acres, all random. This is an average of 10 data points per acre. • Random Points: A minimum of 2 random data points per acre, with a minimum of 30 data points per analysis area. • Transects: A minimum of 1 transect across a representative section of an analysis area (this is not a statistical sample). From the beginning of the transect walk in a straight line sampling every 4-5 feet (1 pace). If possible, starting and ending points for each transect should be identified spatially with a GPS. Collect a minimum of 200 points along each transect. Record soil impacts at each sampling point based on Attachment 3. Definitions of compaction, displacement, erosion, puddling, and severely burned can be found in Attachment 1. Find a "no impact" area to calibrate your foot/sharpshooter or penetrometer (e.g. under a large tree, etc.). Also, find an obvious skid trail or landing to get a feel for detrimental compaction.

Attachment 1 Description of Detrimental Soil Conditions¹

Detrimental Compaction – An increase in soil bulk density of 20 percent, or more, over the undisturbed level for volcanic ash soils. For all other soils it is an increase in soil bulk density of 15 percent, or more, over the undisturbed level. Assess changes in compaction by sampling bulk density, macro porosity, or penetration resistance in the zone in which change in relatively long term and that is the principal root development zone. This zone is commonly between 4 to 12 inches in depth.

Detrimental Displacement – The removal of more than 50 percent of the topsoil or humus enriched A horizon from an area of 100 square feet, or more, which is at least 5 feet in width.

Detrimental Puddling – When the depth of ruts or imprints is 6 inches or more. Soil deformation and loss of structure are observable and usually bulk density is increased.

Detrimental Surface Erosion – Visual evidence of soil loss in areas greater than 100 square feet, rills or gullies and/or water quality degradation from sediment or nutrient enrichment.

Detrimental Burned Soil – Top layer of mineral soil has been significantly changed in color, oxidized to a reddish color, and the next one-half inch blackened from organic matter charring by heat conducted through the top layer. The detrimentally burned soil standard applies to an area greater than 100 square feet, which is at least 5 feet in width.

¹FSM 2500 – Watershed and Air Management R-6 Supplement 2500-98-1

Attachment 2

Unit Prioritization Model

	Le	evel of Co Existing			
		Low	Medium	High	Priority for Unit Sampling (transects)
Potential for Soil Impacts ²	Low				Low
	Medium				Medium High
Pote	High				

¹Judgement call based on Step 2 of the Assessment ²Judgement call based on the potential for soil impacts

Attachment 3 Soil Disturbance Class Definitions

Class 0: Undisturbed Natural State.

Soil surface:

- No evidence of past equipment operation.
- No depressions or wheel tracks evident.
- Litter and duff layers present and intact.
- No soil displacement evident.

Class 1: Low Soil Disturbance

Soil surface:

- Faint wheel tracks or slight depressions evident (e.g. <2" deep).
- Litter and duff layers usually present and intact.
- Surface soil has not been displaced.
- Some evidence of burning impacts including a mosaic of charred and intact duff layer to partially consumed duff layer with blackened surface soil.

Soil resistance to penetration with tile spade or probe:

 Resistance of surface soils may be slightly greater than observed under natural conditions. Concentrated in top 0-4 inch depth.

Observations of soil physical conditions:

 Change in soil structure from crumb or granular structure to massive or platy structure, restricted to the surface 0-4 inches.

Class 2: Moderate Disturbance

Soil surface:

- Wheel tracks or depressions evident (e.g. 2-6" deep.
- Surface soil partially intact with minimal displacement (area must meet the size requirement).

Soil resistance to penetration with tile spade or probe:

• Increased resistance is present throughout top 4-12 inches of soil.

Observations of soil physical conditions:

- Change in soil structure from crumb or granular structure to massive or platy structure, restricted to the surface 4-12 inches.
- Platy structure is generally continuous and holds together when shaken.
- Large roots may penetrate the platy structure, but fine and medium roots may not.

Class 3: High Disturbance

Soil surface:

- Wheel tracks or depressions highly evident (e.g. >6" deep)
- Evidence of topsoil removal, gouging and piling.
- Soil displacement has removed the *majority* of the surface soil. Subsoil partially or totally exposed.
- Burning consumed duff layer, root crowns and surface roots of grasses. Evidence of severely burned soils (mineral surface soil red in color) in an area that meets the size requirement.

Soil resistance to penetration with tile spade or probe:

• Increased resistance is deep into the soil profile (>12 inches).

Observations of soil physical conditions:

- Change in soil structure from granular structure to massive or platy structure extends beyond the top 12 inches of soil.
- Platy structure is continuous.
- Roots do not penetrate the platy structure.

Attachment 4 Soil Disturbance Transect Form

Project	Unit	Observer	Date	Survey Level
Approx. Years Since	Last Skidding (Prev.	Sale and Unit)	% in Roads	s and Landings

Transect Number	Distur- bance Class	Tally of Disturbance Class Observations Along Transect (recommended procedure: minimum of 100 observations, multiple of 100 observations, and ### tally method)	Percent	Comments
	0			
	1			
	2			
	3			
			100%	
	0		100/0	
	1			
	2			
	3			
			100%	
	0			
	1			
	2			
	3			
			100%	

Comments:

Attachment 4 (cont.) – Back of Form Soil Disturbance Transect Form

Can & should existing skid trails be reused? If not, why not?
If it appears that the unit will be near 20% detrimental impacts, include notes on suitability of the soil for subsoiling terms of depth, stoniness, and slope. ("Near 20%" = roads% + % increase this entry + existing%) (% increase this entry = 6% for logging + 2% for grapple piling) (existing% = "3"% +"2"%)
Note conditions that may call for special mitigations: steep slopes, scab inclusions, moist soil, draws
Do these transects appear representative of other parts of the unit?
General notes: (e.g. General character of existing impacts? What are "2" & "3" due to: displacement, compaction Was there a lot of displacement? Any off-skid-trail disturbance visible? General character of soil? Is one part of u hit harder than others?